Case 2:18-cv-01710-RSL Docum	ent 45 Filed 11/02/20 Page 1 of 5
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRIC	CT OF WASHINGTON
AT SE	EATTLE
MAPLE LEAF HOUSING INVESTMENTS, LLC, a Washington	Case No. 2:18-cv-01710-RSL
company,	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED MOTION AND
Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR AN ADDITIONAL 60- DAY STAY OF LITIGATION
VS.	PENDING COMPLETION OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS
TEXACO DOWNSTREAM PROPERTIES Inc., a Delaware corporation.	
Defendant.	
Pursuant to the Court's C	□ Order (Dkt. # 43), Plaintiff MAPLE LEAF
HOUSING INVESTMENTS, LLC ("MLF	HI") and defendant TEXACO
DOWNSTREAM PROPERTIES INC. ("T	TDPI") submit the following joint status report.
MLHI and TDPI also join	intly move the Court for an Order extending the
current litigation stay, with the exception of	of settlement discussions, for an additional 60
days. As set forth in greater detail below,	the parties are engaged in ongoing settlement
negotiations and wish to preserve their, and	d the Court's, resources by focusing on a
negotiated resolution of this matter rather t	than active litigation.
I. JOINT STATUS REPORT	
	de a settlement proposal to MLHI. On October
JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION RE: RE LITIGATION STAY	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, Floor 10
	UNITED STATES WESTERN DISTRIC AT SE MAPLE LEAF HOUSING INVESTMENTS, LLC, a Washington company, Plaintiff, vs. TEXACO DOWNSTREAM PROPERTIES Inc., a Delaware corporation, Defendant. Pursuant to the Court's C HOUSING INVESTMENTS, LLC ("MLH DOWNSTREAM PROPERTIES INC. ("T MLHI and TDPI also jocurrent litigation stay, with the exception of days. As set forth in greater detail below, negotiations and wish to preserve their, an negotiated resolution of this matter rather to the company of the company

29, MLHI responded to TDPI's settlement proposal with a revised demand to TDPI.

5

8

1112

14

15

13

16

1718

1920

21

22

2324

25

2627

TDPI is assessing the revised demand and anticipates a response shortly. Both parties remain hopeful that the case will settle but at this time settlement is not imminent. Both MLHI and TDPI believe that another 60 days is needed to conclude settlement

discussions. Should the parties reach an impasse earlier than 60 days, they will report

back to the Court accordingly and request that the requested litigation stay be lifted.

MLHI has commenced redevelopment work at the property which is the subject of this litigation. As a part of the redevelopment work, MLHI asserts that it will incur costs recoverable under Washington's Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA"). It is anticipated that MLHI will have a better understanding of costs it asserts are recoverable under MTCA, and which are the subject of this lawsuit, within the next 30- to 45- days, which may inform and facilitate on going settlement discussions.

II. PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE

MLHI and TDPI are jointly requesting a further 60-day litigation stay to conclude settlement discussions. Accordingly, MLHI and TDPI have not reached agreement on a contingent case management schedule. However, the parties agree that if this case does not settle within the next 60 days (by December 29, 2020), that it will be ready for trial within a year from the expiration of the litigation stay.

Based on the foregoing, if this matter does not settle within the next 60 days, the parties will submit a case management schedule no later than January 15, 2021, based on trial occurring in early 2022.

III. JOINT REQUEST FOR 60-DAY EXTENSION OF LITIGATION STAY

Based on the foregoing, and good cause identified therein, MLHI and TDPI jointly request that the Court issue an order:

 Continuing the litigation stay approximately 60-days (expiring December 29, 2020), with the exception of settlement discussions and other ADR activities;
 and Case 2:18-cv-01710-RSL Document 45 Filed 11/02/20 Page 3 of 5

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION RE: RE LITIGATION STAY Case No.: 2:18-cv-01710-RSL Page 3 Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, Floor 10 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 956-2828

Case 2:18-cv-01710-RSL Document 45 Filed 11/02/20 Page 4 of 5 Dated: November 2, 2020 ROGERS JOSEPH O'DONNELL By: /s/ Robert C. Goodman ROBERT C. GOODMAN Attorneys for Defendant JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, Floor 10 **RE: RE LITIGATION STAY**

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01710-RSL Page 4

San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 956-2828

521385.1

ORDER

The Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. With the exception of settlement discussions or other ADR-related activities, the parties shall stay all litigation activities, including discovery, through December 29, 2020.
- 2. In the event that this matter does not settle prior to December 29, 2020, no later than January 15, 2021, the Parties will file a joint status report and proposed case management schedule based on trial occurring in early 2022.

In the event that the case settles, counsel shall notify Deputy Clerk, Kerry Simonds at 206-370-8519 as soon as possible.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 2nd day of November, 2020.

MMS (asnik Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

27

28